

1 What is claimed is:

2 Sub
A1

1 1. A method of electronic watermarking comprising:
2 sampling input signals using an uneven sampling rate.

3
1 2. The method according to claim 1, wherein the sampling
2 comprises sampling at a rate such that an average sampling frequency is
3 greater than or equal to twice the highest frequency in the input signals.

4
1 3. The method according to claim 1, wherein the sampling
2 comprises sampling using a pseudo-random sampling rate.

3
1 4. The method according to claim 1, wherein the sampling rate
2 has an unevenness which is pseudo-random and the unevenness is less
3 than about thirty per cent of the corresponding sampling period.

4
1 5. The method according to claim 1, wherein the input signals
2 are analog input signals, the method further comprising:
3 outputting unevenly sampled digital signals.

4
1 6. A method of authentication of candidate data comprising:
2 sampling original signals using an uneven sampling rate to produce
3 unevenly sampled original signal data; and
4 comparing the unevenly sampled original signal data with the
5 candidate data for a degree of match.

6
1 7. The method according to claim 6, further comprising:
2 normalizing the candidate data prior to the comparing; and
3 normalizing the unevenly sampled original signal data prior
4 to the comparing.

5

1 8. The method according to claim 7, wherein the comparing
2 comprises calculating a mean square difference between the normalized
3 candidate data and the normalized unevenly sampled original signal data.

4
1 9. The method according to claim 8, further comprising
2 comparing the calculated mean square difference to a threshold value,
3 wherein if the calculated mean square difference is greater than the
4 threshold value, the candidate data is determined to be inauthentic.

5
1 10. A method of detecting if a suspect signal has been sampled
2 using an uneven sampling rate, wherein the signal includes at least one
3 monotonic sine wave, comprising:
4 performing a frequency analysis of the suspect signal; and
5 detecting the presence of a phantom frequency indicating that the
6 monotonic sine wave was sampled using an uneven sampling rate.

7
8
1 11. An apparatus for electronic watermarking, comprising:
2 input means for receiving input signals; and
3 sampling means for sampling the input signals using an
4 uneven sampling rate.

5
1 12. The apparatus according to claim 11, wherein the sampling
2 means comprises:
3 an analog-to-digital converter; and
4 control means for controlling the analog-to-digital converter
5 to have an uneven sampling rate.

6
1 13. The apparatus according to claim 12, wherein the control
2 means comprises a pseudo-random number generator.

3

1 14. The apparatus according to claim 12, wherein the control
2 means controls the analog-to-digital converter to sample the input signals
3 at a rate such that an average sampling frequency is greater than or equal
4 to twice the highest frequency in the input signals.
5

1 15. The apparatus according to claim 14, wherein the sampling
2 rate has an unevenness which is pseudo-random and the unevenness is
3 less than about thirty per cent of the corresponding sampling period.
4

1 16. An apparatus for authentication of candidate data
2 comprising:
3 sampling means for sampling original signals using an uneven
4 sampling rate to produce unevenly sampled original signal data; and
5 comparing means for comparing the unevenly sampled original
6 signal data with the candidate data for a degree of match.
7

1 17. The apparatus according to claim 16, further comprising:
2 first normalizing means for normalizing the candidate data and
3 providing normalized candidate data to the comparing means; and
4 second normalizing means for normalizing the unevenly sampled
5 original signal data and providing normalized unevenly sampled original
6 signal data to the comparing means.
7

1 18. The apparatus according to claim 17, wherein the comparing
2 means comprises mean square difference calculating means for
3 calculating a mean square difference between the normalized candidate
4 data and the normalized unevenly sampled original signal data
5

1 19. The apparatus according to claim 18, wherein the comparing
2 means further comprises threshold means for comparing the calculated
3 mean square difference to a threshold value, wherein if the calculated

4 mean square difference is greater than the threshold value, the candidate
5 data is determined to be inauthentic

1 20. A method for generating an unevenly sampled signal
2 comprising:
3 sampling a waveform to produce evenly spaced samples; and
4 adding to the even spaced samples an uneven sampling pattern.

1 21. The method according to claim 20, further comprising
2 reusing the uneven sampling pattern so that it repeats after the last value.

1 22. A method of producing an evenly sampled sequence from
2 an unevenly sampled sequence, comprising:
3 interpolating the unevenly sampled sequence by resampling at a
4 rate higher than a sampling rate used to produce the unevenly sampled
5 sequence, thereby producing a resampled sequence; and
6 decimating the resampled sequence at a even sampling rate
7 thereby producing an evenly sampled sequence.

1 23. A method of detecting whether a suspect signal is an original
2 signal which has been sampled unevenly, comprising:
3 providing an evenly sampled original signal;
4 comparing the evenly sampled original signal to the suspect signal
5 by determining an absolute value of a difference between the amplitudes
6 of the evenly sampled original signal and the suspect signal for a given
7 sample index.

1 24. A data processing system comprising:
2 means for implementing a data watermarking processing;
3 and

Al

22